Charter Number: 2360

LARGE BANK

Comptroller of the Currency
Administrator of National Banks

Washington, DC 20219

Public Disclosure

October 4, 2004

Community Reinvestment Act Performance Evaluation

Lebanon Citizens National Bank Charter Number: 2360

> 2 North Broadway Lebanon, Ohio 45036

Office of Comptroller of the Currency

Central Ohio Field Office 325 Cramer Creek Court, Suite 101 Columbus, Ohio 43017

NOTE: This document is an evaluation of this institution's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with safe and sound operation of the institution. This evaluation is not, and should not be construed as, an assessment of the financial condition of this institution. The rating assigned to this institution does not represent an analysis, conclusion, or opinion of the federal financial supervisory agency concerning the safety and soundness of this financial institution.

Description of Institution

Lebanon Citizens National Bank (LCNB) is an independent, intrastate community bank, headquartered in Lebanon, Ohio, which is located in Warren County, approximately 30 miles north of Cincinnati, Ohio. LCNB is a wholly owned subsidiary of LCNB Corp., a one-bank financial services holding company, also headquartered in Lebanon, Ohio. LCNB has 18 branches and 25 ATMs serving Warren, Butler, and Clinton Counties and portions of Clermont and Hamilton Counties. Since the previous examination, LCNB has converted one loan production office in Hamilton, Ohio, into a branch office.

The bank has designated three assessment areas (AAs). One AA includes a portion of the Cincinnati MSA, a second includes all of the Hamilton-Middletown MSA, and the third includes Clinton County, a non-MSA. The AAs meet the requirements of the regulation and do not arbitrarily exclude any low- or moderate-income areas.

As of June 30, 2004, LCNB reported total assets of \$498 million and Tier 1 capital of \$42.7 million (8.6% of total assets). LCNB is a full-service lender offering various loan and deposit products. The bank offers conventional home mortgage loans, consumer loans, and a full range of business loans and trust services. LCNB's primary focus is real estate and consumer lending and to a lesser extent, small business and small farm lending. For additional information on the products and services offered by LCNB, please refer to the bank's CRA Public File. Competition for loans and deposits within the bank's marketing area is strong and comes from several local community banks and branches of regional institutions. No legal or economic impediments exist that restrict the bank's ability to serve the community's credit needs. The bank was last reviewed for its CRA performance in June 2000 and was rated "Outstanding."

Evaluation Period

We evaluated LCNB's lending performance using data for the period January 1, 2000, through December 31, 2003. For community development (CD) loans, investments, and services, our evaluation period extends from the ending date of the last CRA examination of June 12, 2000, through October 4, 2004. For each AA, we conducted two separate analyses. The 1990 census data was used for comparison to the years 2000 – 2002, and the 2000 census data was used to analyze 2003 loan data.

Scope of the Evaluation

As part of this CRA evaluation, we tested for accuracy, LCNB's publicly filed information of home mortgage loans, small loans to businesses, and small loans to farms. Our testing found material errors in the small business and small farm lending data. However, all data used in this evaluation is accurate. We did not evaluate the bank's performance for home improvement loans, multifamily housing loans, and small loans to farms. Given the limited volume of these types of loans, an analysis of this data is not meaningful.

We reviewed 100 percent of the bank's community development loans, investments, and services. Only those activities that qualified are presented and considered in this evaluation.

For analysis purposes, the Cincinnati AA represents the bank's primary lending area. This MSA also contains the bank's headquarters office and the majority of branches. We conducted full scope reviews of the Cincinnati and the Hamilton-Middletown AAs and a limited scope review of the Clinton County AA. The bank's rating is primarily based on the full-scope AAs. A brief summary of key demographic data for the full scope AAs is provided in appendix A.

We placed more weight on the banks performance for the years 2000 - 2002, using the 1990 census information as the comparator. Additionally, more weight was placed on borrower distribution over geographic distribution given the limited number of low- and moderate-income tracts in the AAs. This data is presented in the tables found in appendix C.

Overall CRA Rating

Institution's CRA Rating: This institution is rated "Outstanding."

The following table indicates the performance level of **Lebanon Citizens**National Bank:

		n Citizens National I Performance Tests	Bank
Performance Levels	Lending Test*	Investment Test	Service Test
Outstanding	Х		
High Satisfactory		Х	Х
Low Satisfactory			
Needs to Improve			
Substantial Noncompliance			

^{*} The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests when arriving at an overall rating.

The major factors that support this overall rating and conclusions with respect to performance tests:

LENDING

Refer to Tables 1 through 13 in Appendix B for facts and data used to evaluate the bank's lending activity.

Performance under the lending test is rated Outstanding. Performance in the Cincinnati AA is good and in the Hamilton-Middletown AA is excellent.

Cincinnati AA

LCNB's lending activity is good in the Cincinnati AA. This AA is the source of the largest volume of the bank's activity and the location of the largest number of branches. The Cincinnati AA has 84 percent of deposits, 71 percent of loans, and 72 percent of branches. The bank's deposit market share is approximately 9 percent, ranking third in the market behind a large nationwide institution with 62 percent of the market and a large regional bank with 9 percent. The deposit market share exceeds its home mortgage and small business lending market share. There are no low-income tracts in the Cincinnati AA.

The distribution of home mortgage loans in moderate-income areas is good. For the years 2000-2002, the distribution of home purchase and home refinance loans was excellent and exceeded the percentage of owner-occupied units in those areas. For the year 2003, the distribution was weaker and considered poor

because the percentage of loans in the moderate-income areas was substantially lower than the percentage of owner-occupied units in those areas.

The distribution of small loans to businesses in moderate-income areas was good. For the years 2000-2002, the percentage of loans made in moderate-income areas was excellent and exceeded the percentage of businesses located in those areas. For the year 2003, performance was weaker and considered poor because the percentage of loans to businesses in the moderate-income areas is substantially lower than the percentage of businesses in those areas.

For the years 2000-2002, the distribution of home mortgage loans to low- and moderate-income individuals is excellent. For both home purchase and home refinance loans, the percentage of loans to low-income individuals is considered excellent given a household poverty rate of 12 percent in the AA. The percentage of loans to moderate-income borrowers is near to the percentage of families for home purchase, and exceeds the percentage of families for home refinance loans. For the year 2003, home mortgage lending performance was similar to the performance in the years 2000-2002.

The distribution of loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate. For the entire evaluation period (2000-2003), the percentage of loans to small businesses (i.e. those with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less) is lower than the demographic comparator.

Hamilton-Middletown AA

Lending activity in the Hamilton-Middletown AA is excellent. This AA has 13 percent of deposits, 23 percent of loans, and 22 percent of branches. The bank's deposit market share is 0.21 percent, ranking twentieth in an area dominated by four large regional banks. The bank's HMDA and small business lending market share exceeds its deposit market share.

The distribution of home mortgage loans in both low- and moderate-income areas is good. For the years 2000-2002, the distribution of home purchase and home refinance loans is excellent in the low-income areas and exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in those areas. In the moderate-income areas, the distribution of home purchase loans is adequate and is lower than the percentage of owner-occupied units in those areas. The distribution of home refinance loans in moderate-income areas is excellent and substantially exceeds the percentage of owner-occupied units in those areas. For the year 2003, the distribution of home purchase loans is excellent in moderate-income areas, and poor in low-income areas. The distribution of home refinance loans is poor in both the low-and moderate-income areas.

The distribution of small loans to businesses is excellent. For the years 2000-2002, the percentage of loans made in low- and moderate-income areas is excellent and exceeds the percentage of businesses located in those areas. For

the year 2003, performance is good and near to the percentage of businesses in those areas.

For the years 2000-2002, the distribution of home mortgage loans to low- and moderate-income individuals is excellent. The distribution of home purchase and home refinance loans to low- income individuals is excellent given a household poverty rate of 13 percent in the AA. The distribution of home purchase loans to moderate-income individuals is good and is near to the percentage of families of this income level. The distribution of home refinance loans to moderate-income borrowers is excellent and exceeds the percentage of families of this income level. For the year 2003, home mortgage lending performance is excellent and stronger than the performance in the years 2000-2003 for both home purchase and home refinance loans to low- and moderate-income individuals.

The distribution of loans to businesses of different sizes is adequate. For the years 2000-2002, the percentage of loans to small businesses (i.e. those with gross annual revenues of \$1 million or less) is below the demographic comparator. For the year 2003, performance is stronger and slightly higher than the demographic comparator.

Flexible Underwriting

LCNB extends consumer and commercial loans with no minimum loan amount. This flexible underwriting process is tailored to meet the needs of low- or moderate-income individuals and is not offered by other commercial banks in the area. A review of loans of \$2 thousand or less made during the evaluation period indicated that these loans appeal to a higher portion of low- and moderate-income borrowers than is represented by that percentage of population in both the full scope AAs.

Lending Gap Analysis

No unexplained conspicuous lending gaps were identified. We reviewed maps and reports detailing LCNB's lending activity over the evaluation period for home mortgage loans and small loans to businesses to identify gaps in the geographic distribution of those loans.

Inside/Outside Ratio

Eighty-three percent of loans originated or purchased by LCNB over the evaluation period were within its AAs, which is a substantial majority of loans. By product type, 85% of home mortgage loans and 76% of small loans to businesses were made inside its AAs during the evaluation period.

Community Development Lending

LCNB's community development lending activity had a neutral impact on the Lending Test rating. The bank originated two community development loans in the Cincinnati AA totaling \$171 thousand. These loans assisted a nonprofit affordable housing agency, an identified community need.

Limited-Scope Conclusions

Based on a limited-scope review, the bank's performance under the lending test in the Clinton County AA is weaker than performance in the full-scope AAs. This performance did not impact the overall lending test rating.

INVESTMENTS

Refer to Table 14 in appendix B for facts and data used to evaluate the bank's level of qualified investments.

Performance under the investment test is rated "High Satisfactory." In the Cincinnati AA, performance is excellent and in the Hamilton-Middletown AA, performance is adequate.

In evaluating the bank's volume of investments, we considered community development opportunities in the AA, as well as the capacity for the local infrastructure to create qualified investments. The bank's AAs contain a limited number of low- and moderate-income areas. Because of this, the bank contracted with a specialist to tailor qualified investments and as a result, the level of investments has increased substantially since the last CRA examination. LCNB hired an investment bank to assemble two groups of mortgage loans to low- and moderate-income borrowers in the Cincinnati AA. The bank invested in these mortgages at rates below those of competitive institutions. LCNB also made charitable contributions to 45 qualified organizations that provide services to low- and moderate-income families or individuals. Organizations benefiting from these contributions covered each of the bank's AAs.

SERVICES

Refer to Table 15 in Appendix B for facts and data used to evaluate the distribution of the bank's branch delivery system and branch openings and closings.

Performance under the service test is rated "High Satisfactory." Performance in both the Cincinnati AA and the Hamilton-Middletown AA is good and in the Clinton County AA performance is adequate.

In the Cincinnati AA, LCNB's offices and retail services are reasonably accessible to geographies and individuals of all income levels. Although none of the bank's offices are located in moderate-income areas, 38 percent of its offices are located in tracts adjacent to moderate-income tracts. However, only 5.76 percent of the population lives in the moderate-income census tracts per the 1990 census. There are no low-income tracts in this AA. The bank did not close any offices in this AA during the evaluation period.

In the Hamilton-Middletown AA, LCNB's offices and retail services are reasonably accessible to geographies and individuals of all income levels. Fifty percent of its offices are located in moderate-income areas which substantially exceeds the percentage of the moderate-income population that resides in these areas. There are no branches in low-income areas; however, two branches are located in tracts adjacent to the low-income tracts. The bank opened one office in a middle-income geography during this evaluation period.

LCNB's hours and services offered throughout the Cincinnati AA and the Hamilton-Middletown AA are good. The availability of services is comparable among locations regardless of the income level of the geography.

Alternative delivery systems include automated teller machines, 24-hour telephone banking, and Internet banking services. These services are available to all segments of the bank's AAs. The bank has not performed an analysis of the benefits of these services. Therefore, we did not place significant weight on the alternative delivery systems.

LCNB's community development services are considered good. These services include participation with various entities in Warren and Butler counties. Several officers provide professional assistance to groups involved in affordable housing, small business development, and services to low- and moderate-income individuals.

Fair Lending or Other Illegal Credit Practices Review

We found no evidence of illegal discrimination or other illegal credit practices.

Appendix A: Market Profiles for Full-Scope Areas

Demographic Information for	Full Sco	pe Area:	Cincinnati A	A		
Demographic Characteristics	#	Low % of #	Moderate % of #	Middle % of #	Upper % of #	NA* % of #
Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs)	27	0.00	7.41	66.67	22.22	3.70
Population by Geography	99,695	0.00	5.76	64.48	26.83	2.92
Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography	24,960	0.00	4.23	67.22	28.55	0.00
Business by Geography	6,074	0.00	6.24	61.87	31.84	0.05
Farms by Geography	331	0.00	2.42	82.18	15.41	0.00
Family Distribution by Income Level	27,411	14.84	17.27	25.01	42.88	0.00
Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies	8,800	0.00	9.60	75.25	15.15	0.00
Median Family Income HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2002 Households Below Poverty Level		36,658 64,300 12.43%	Median Housing Valu Unemployment Rate		74,347 2.65%	

^(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.

Source: 1990 US Census and 2002 HUD updated MFI

Demographic Information for	Full Sco	oe Area:	Hamilton-Mi	ddletown A	A	
Demographic Characteristics	#	Low % of #	Moderate % of #	Middle % of #	Upper % of #	NA* % of #
Geographies (Census Tracts/BNAs)	73	10.96	23.29	43.84	20.55	1.37
Population by Geography	291,479	7.65	19.99	45.26	26.40	0.69
Owner-Occupied Housing by Geography	72,365	3.53	18.21	49.46	28.79	0.01
Business by Geography	14,714	7.61	14.79	48.56	29.02	0.03
Farms by Geography	505	1.98	6.53	69.11	22.38	0.00
Family Distribution by Income Level	78,113	19.23	18.20	24.52	38.06	0.00
Distribution of Low and Moderate Income Families throughout AA Geographies	29,231	12.41	32.61	42.30	12.68	0.00
Median Family Income		38,674	Median Housing Valu	ie	74,116	
HUD Adjusted Median Family Income for 2002 Households Below Poverty Level		62,600 10.66%	Unemployment Rate	(1990 US Census)	2.64%	

^(*) The NA category consists of geographies that have not been assigned an income classification.

Source: 1990 US Census and 2002 HUD updated MFI $\,$

Appendix B: Tables of Performance Data

Content of Standardized Tables

For purposes of reviewing the lending test tables, the following are applicable: purchased loans are treated as originations/purchases and market share is the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank as a percentage of the aggregate number of reportable loans originated and purchased by all lenders in the assessment area (AA). Tables without data are not included in this PE.

The following is a listing and brief description of the tables:

- Table 1. Lending Volume Presents the number and dollar amount of reportable loans originated and purchased by the bank over the evaluation period by AA. Community development loans to statewide or regional entities or made outside the bank's AA may receive positive CRA consideration. Refer to Interagency Q&As __.12(i) 5 and 6 for guidance on when a bank may receive positive CRA consideration for such loans.
- Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans Compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of owner-occupied housing units throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.
- **Table 3.** Geographic Distribution of Home Improvement Loans See Table 2.
- **Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans** See Table 2.
- Table 5. Geographic Distribution of Multifamily Loans Compares the percentage distribution of the number of multifamily loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of multifamily housing units throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

- Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses The percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$1 million) to businesses originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies compared to the percentage distribution of businesses (regardless of revenue size) throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available. Because small business data are not available for geographic areas smaller than counties, it may be necessary to use geographic areas larger than the bank's AA.
- Table 7. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Farms The percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$500,000) to farms originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies compared to the percentage distribution of farms (regardless of revenue size) throughout those geographies. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available. Because small farm data are not available for geographic areas smaller than counties, it may be necessary to use geographic areas larger than the bank's AA.
- Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans Compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank to low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers to the percentage distribution of families by income level in each AA. The table also presents market share information based on the most recent aggregate market data available.
- Table 9. Borrower Distribution of Home Improvement Loans See Table 8.
- Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Refinance Loans See Table 8.
- Table 11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses Compares the percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$1 million) originated and purchased by the bank to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less to the percentage distribution of businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less. In addition, the table presents the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank by loan size, regardless of the revenue size of the business. Market share information is presented based on the most recent aggregate market data available.

- Table 12. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Farms Compares the percentage distribution of the number of small loans (less than or equal to \$500,000) originated and purchased by the bank to farms with revenues of \$1 million or less to the percentage distribution of farms with revenues of \$1 million or less. In addition, the table presents the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank by loan size, regardless of the revenue size of the farm. Market share information is presented based on the most recent aggregate market data available.
- Table 13. Geographic and Borrower Distribution of Consumer Loans (OPTIONAL) For geographic distribution, the table compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank in low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income geographies to the percentage distribution of households within each geography. For borrower distribution, the table compares the percentage distribution of the number of loans originated and purchased by the bank to low-, moderate-, middle-, and upper-income borrowers to the percentage of households by income level in each AA.
- Table 14. Qualified Investments Presents the number and dollar amount of qualified investments made by the bank in each AA. The table separately presents investments made during prior evaluation periods that are still outstanding and investments made during the current evaluation period. Prior-period investments are reflected at their book value as of the end of the evaluation period. Current period investments are reflected at their original investment amount even if that amount is greater than the current book value of the investment. The table also presents the number and dollar amount of unfunded qualified investment commitments. In order to be included, an unfunded commitment must be legally binding and tracked and recorded by the bank's financial reporting system.
- Table 15. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch
 Openings/Closings Compares the percentage distribution of the
 number of the bank's branches in low-, moderate-, middle-, and
 upper-income geographies to the percentage of the population within
 each geography in each AA. The table also presents data on branch
 openings and closings in each AA

NOTE: TABLES 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, and 13 ARE NOT APPLICABLE AND ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS SECTION.

Table 1. Lending Volume

14.5.5 11 =51.41.19 15												
LENDING VOLUME		(Geography: OH	0 6	valuation Per	i od : January 1	, 2000 TO DECE	EMBER 31, 200	2			
	% of Rated Area Loans	Home M	ortgage	Small Loans t	o Businesses	Small Loan	s to Farms	Community Loa	Development ns**	Total Repo	orted Loans	% of Rated Area Deposits in AA***
Assessment Area:	(#) in AA [*]	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$(000's)	
Full Scope:												
Cincinnati AA	70.10	614	76,115	157	18,397	43	4,589	2	171	816	99,272	83.80
Hamilton-Middletown AA	24.32	233	27,169	42	8,763	8	552	0	0	283	36,484	13.40
Limited Scope:												
Clinton County AA	5.58	49	5,184	11	737	5	265	0	0	65	6,186	2.80

LENDING VOLUME		Ge	ography: OHIO		Evaluation P	eriod: JANUAR	Y 1, 2003 TO DE	ECEMBER 31, 20	003			
	% of Rated Area Loans	Home M	ortgage	Small Loans t	o Businesses	Small Loan	s to Farms	Community I Loa	Development ns**	Total Repo	rted Loans	% of Rated Area Deposits in AA***
Assessment Area:	(#) in AA*	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$ (000's)	#	\$(000's)	
Full Scope:												
Cincinnati AA	73.13	416	56,242	81	11,814	12	1,530	0	0	509	69,586	83.80
Hamilton-Middletown AA	20.69	112	14,884	29	5,111	3	282	0	0	144	20,277	13.40
Limited Scope:												
Clinton County AA	6.18	40	4,125	2	60	1	50	0	0	43	4,235	2.80

^{*}Loan Data as of December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003, respectively. Rated area refers to the state rating area. **The evaluation period for Community Development Loans is from June 12, 2000 to October 4, 2004.

Deposit Data as of June 30, 2004. Rated area refers to the state rating area.

Table 2. Geographic Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

	Total Home Loa		Low-Income	Geographies	Moderato Geogra		Middle-Income	e Geographies	Upper-Income	Geographies	Ma	arket Shar	re (%) by G	eography	*
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total**	% Owner % BANK Occupied Loans Units***		% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	U pp
Full Scope:															
Cincinnati AA	173	63.37	NA	NA	4.23	7.51	67.22	79.77	28.55	12.72	1.11	NA	1.15	1.73	0.2
Hamilton-Middletown AA	85	31.14	3.54	4.71	18.21	11.76	49.47	57.65	28.79	25.88	0.22	0.38	0.08	0.32	0.11
Limited Scope:															
Clinton County AA	15	5.49	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.00	100.00	NA	NA	0.67	NA	NA	0.67	N/

Geographic Distribution: HOME	PURCHASE		Ge0	graphy: OHIO		Evaluation Pei	iod: JANUARY	1, 2003 TO DE	CEMBER 31, 20	103					
	Total Home Loa		Low-Income	Geographies	Moderate Geogra		Middle-Income	e Geographies	Upper-Income	Geographies	Ma	arket Shar	e (%) by G	ieography	*
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total ^{**}	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full Scope:	Il Scope:														
Cincinnati AA	72	75.00	NA	NA	10.35	2.78	34.52	66.67	55.13	30.56	0.72	NA	0.26	1.81	0.34
Hamilton-Middletown AA	18	18.75	2.37	0.00	17.48	33.33	53.57	50.00	26.58	16.67	0.18	0.00	0.28	0.20	0.09
Limited Scope:															•
Clinton County AA	6	6.25	NA	NA	NA	NA	86.88	100.00	13.12	0.00	1.11	NA	NA	1.24	0.0

^{*} Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Mortgage Data, respectively – Eastern Region.

^{**} Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Percentage of owner-occupied units is the number of owner-occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner-occupied housing units in the area based on the 1990 and 2000 Census information, respectively.

Table 4. Geographic Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Assessment Area:	Mortgage	Home Refinance ans	Low-Income	Geographies	Moderat Geogr	e-Income aphies	Middle-Income	e Geographies	Upper-Income	Geographies	N	Market Sha	are (%) by 0	ieography*	
	#	% of Total ^{**}	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans			% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:															
Cincinnati AA	441	70.79	NA	NA	4.23	6.58	67.22	83.90	28.55	9.52	2.08	NA	3.51	3.04	0.61
Hamilton-Middletown AA	148	23.76	3.54	6.08	18.21	22.30	49.47	46.62	28.79	25.00	0.40	0.90	0.82	0.41	0.27
Limited Scope:	-														
Clinton County AA	34	5.46	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.00	100.00	NA	NA	0.93	NA	NA	0.93	NA

Assessment Area:	Mortgage	Home Refinance ans	Low-Income	Geographies	Moderati Geogr	e-Income aphies	Middle-Incom	e Geographies	Upper-Income	Geographies	ı	Market Sha	are (%) by (Geography*	
	# % of Total **		% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	% Owner Occupied Units***	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:															
Cincinnati AA	344	72.88	NA	NA	10.35	1.16	34.52	60.47	55.13	38.37	1.07	NA	0.22	2.22	0.63
Hamilton-Middletown AA	94	19.92	2.37	0.00	17.48	8.51	53.57	71.28	26.58	20.21	0.27	0.00	0.23	0.35	0.18
Limited Scope:	•														
Clinton County AA	34	7.20	NA	NA	NA	NA	86.88	82.35	13.12	17.65	1.07	NA	NA	1.07	1.06

^{*} Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Mortgage Data, respectively – Eastern Region.

^{**} Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Percentage of owner-occupied units is the number of owner-occupied units in a particular geography divided by the number of owner-occupied housing units in the area based on 1990 and 200 Census information, respectively.

Table 6. Geographic Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Geographic Distribution: SM		all Business	ı	Geographies	graphy: OHIO Moderat	e-Income	Middle-		RY 1, 2000 TO Upper-		, 1001	Market Sh	are (%) by G	engranhy*	
		ans	2010 111001110	200grupiii00		aphies	Geogra		Geogr			murkot on	.a.o (707 b) C	oograpiij	
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total ^{**}	% of Businesses	% BANK Loans	% of Businesses	% BANK Loans	% of Businesses	% BANK Loans	% of Businesses	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:														"	
Cincinnati AA	157	74.76	NA	NA	6.24	13.38	61.87	80.25	31.84	6.37	0.39	NA	0.25	0.71	0.14
Hamilton-Middletown AA	42	20.00	7.61	9.52	14.79	16.67	48.56	50.00	29.02	23.81	0.32	1.25	0.41	0.36	0.10
Limited Scope:			•						•			•	•		
Clinton County AA	11	5.24	NA	NA	NA	NA	100.00	100.00	NA	NA	0.08	NA	NA	0.08	NA

Geographic Distribution: SMA	LL LOANS T	O BUSINESSI	ES	Geo	graphy: OHIO		Evaluation P	eriod: JANUAI	RY 1, 2003 TO	DECEMBER 31	, 2003				
		all Business oans	Low-Income	Geographies	Moderato Geogra		Middle- Geogra		Upper-l Geogra			Market Sh	are (%) by Go	eography [*]	
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total**	% of Businesses	% BANK Loans	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full Scope:			•												
Cincinnati AA	81	73.64	NA	NA	12.16	2.47	35.14	58.02	52.69	39.51	0.30	NA	0.05	0.41	0.40
Hamilton-Middletown AA	29	26.36	8.03	7.41	22.28	18.52	48.39	59.26	21.30	14.81	0.43	0.59	0.35	0.52	0.24
Limited Scope:															
Clinton County AA	2	1.82	NA	NA	NA	NA	88.63	100.00	11.37	0.00	0.20	NA	NA	0.25	0.00

^{*}Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Small Business Data, respectively.
**Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Source Data - Dun and Bradstreet (2002 and 2003).

Table 8. Borrower Distribution of Home Purchase Loans

	Total	Home	Low-Income	Borrowers	Moderate-Incor	ne Borrowers	Middle-Incom	e Borrowers	Upper-Incom	e Borrowers		M	arket Share	· *	
		se Loans	LOW IIICOIIIC	Donoword	Wioderate inter	no Borrowers	Wilduic Illcoll	o Bollowella	Оррег птеотп	o Bonowers			arket Ghart	•	
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total**	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp						
Full Scope:									<u>l</u>						
Cincinnati AA	173	63.37	14.84	8.98	17.27	16.17	25.01	22.16	42.88	52.69	1.24	2.14	0.82	1.07	1.39
Hamilton-Middletown AA	85	31.14	19.23	14.29	18.20	16.67	24.52	28.57	38.06	40.48	0.26	0.46	0.11	0.22	0.36
Limited Scope:	•														
Clinton County AA	15	5.49	17.47	0.00	17.08	6.67	25.62	26.67	39.84	66.67	0.80	0.00	0.68	0.56	1.17

	Total Home Purchase Loans		Low-Income Borrowers		Moderate-Income Borrowers		Middle-Income Borrowers		Upper-Income Borrowers		Market Share*				
Assessment Area:	#	% of Total**	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:									<u> </u>						
Cincinnati AA	72	75.00	11.32	8.45	15.37	25.35	21.75	28.17	51.56	39.03	0.81	1.17	0.87	0.94	0.65
Hamilton-Middletown AA	18	18.75	17.41	27.78	19.83	11.11	24.18	16.67	38.57	44.44	0.21	0.30	0.14	0.19	0.24
Limited Scope:									l l					1	
Clinton County AA	6	6.25	15.48	0.00	17.19	40.00	24.15	20.00	43.18	40.00	1.11	2.00	1.21	0.78	1.01

Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Mortgage Data, respectively – Eastern Region.

As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 2.6% of loans originated and purchased by bank for the years 2000-2002, and 2.1% for the year 2003.

Percentage of Families is based on the 1990 and 2000 Census information, respectively.

Home purchase loans originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all home purchase loans originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Table 10. Borrower Distribution of Home Mortgage Refinance Loans

Assessment Area:	Total Home Mortgage Refinance Loans		Low-Income Borrowers		Moderate-Income Borrowers		Middle-Income Borrowers		Upper-Income Borrowers		Market Share [*]				
	#	% of Total**	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loan****	% Families***	% BANK Loan****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:													<u> </u>		1
Cincinnati AA	441	70.79	14.84	11.89	17.27	22.84	25.01	30.30	42.88	34.97	2.32	4.55	3.82	3.15	1.21
Hamilton-Middletown AA	148	23.76	19.23	8.97	18.20	20.69	24.52	31.03	38.06	39.31	0.45	0.61	0.53	0.44	0.38
Limited Scope:	•												'	'	
Clinton County AA	34	5.46	17.47	0.00	17.08	17.65	25.62	32.35	39.84	50.00	1.08	0.00	0.72	1.12	1.31

	Tota	l Home	Low-Income Borrowers		Moderate-Income Borrowers		Middle-Income Borrowers		Upper-Income	Market Share*					
Assessment Area:	Mortgage Refinance Loans		2011 111001110												
	#	% of Total ^{**}	% Families ^{***}	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families***	% BANK Loans****	% Families ^{***}	% BANK Loans****	Overall	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:													L. L		
Cincinnati AA	344	72.94	11.32	11.18	15.37	26.47	21.75	28.82	51.56	33.53	1.22	2.55	1.86	1.34	0.78
Hamilton-Middletown AA	94	19.87	17.41	19.15	19.83	20.21	24.18	25.53	38.57	35.11	0.31	0.56	0.26	0.27	0.29
Limited Scope:															
Clinton County AA	34	7.19	15.48	3.03	17.19	27.27	24.15	18.18	43.18	51.52	1.17	0.84	1.55	0.83	1.30

^{*} Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Mortgage Data, respectively – Eastern Region.

** As a percentage of loans with borrower income information available. No information was available for 2.4% of loans originated and purchased by bank for years 2000-2002, and 1.3% for year 2003.

***Percentage of Families is based on the 1990 and 2000 Census information, respectively

***Home refinance loans originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all home refinance loans originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Table11. Borrower Distribution of Small Loans to Businesses

Borrower Distribution: SMALI	. LOANS TO B	USINESSES		Geography: Ol	-110 Eva	Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2000 TO DECEMBER 31, 2002									
		all Loans to nesses	Businesses With million		Loai	ns by Original Amount Regardless o	Market Share [*]								
	#	# % of % of % BANK Total** Businesses*** Loans****		\$100,000 or less	>\$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev\$ 1 Million or Less							
Assessment Area:															
Full Scope:															
Cincinnati AA	157	74.76	76.61	54.78	64.33	22.29	13.38	0.39	1.09						
Hamilton-Middletown AA	42	20.00	77.82	54.76	45.24	33.33	21.43	0.32	0.85						
Limited Scope:															
Clinton County AA	11	5.24	80.41	54.55	90.91	0.00	9.09	0.08	0.31						

Borrower Distribution: SMALL	LOANS TO B	USINESSES		Geography: Ol	HIO E v	Evaluation Period: JANUARY 1, 2003 TO DECEMBER 31, 2003										
		all Loans to nesses	Businesses With million		Loa	ns by Original Amount Regardless o	Market Share [*]									
	#	% of Total**	% of Businesses***	% BANK Loans****	\$100,000 or less	>\$100,000 to \$250,000	>\$250,000 to \$1,000,000	All	Rev\$ 1 Million or Less							
Assessment Area:																
Full Scope:																
Cincinnati AA	81	72.32	64.21	51.85	61.73	22.22	16.05	0.30	0.47							
Hamilton-Middletown AA	29	25.89	63.97	65.52	48.28	27.59	24.14	0.43	0.81							
Limited Scope:																
Clinton County AA	2	1.79	65.55	50.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.23							

^{*} Based on 2002 and 2003 Peer Small Business Data, respectively.

^{**} Small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the AA as a percentage of all small loans to businesses originated and purchased in the rated areas.

Businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all businesses (Source D&B – 2002 and 2003).

Small loans to businesses with revenues of \$1 million or less as a percentage of all loans reported as small loans to businesses. No information was available for 13.81% of small loans to businesses originated and purchased by the bank in the years 2000-2002, and 6.25% in the year 2003.

Table 14. Qualified Investments

QUALIFIED INVESTMENTS		Geograpl	ıy: OHIO	Evaluation Period:	JUNE 12, 2000 TO OCT	OBER 4, 2004			
Assessment Area:	Prior Peri	od Investments [*]	Current Peri	od Investments		Total Investments	Unfunded Commitments**		
	#	\$(000's)	#	\$(000's)	#	\$(000's)	% of Total	#	\$(000's)
Full Scope:	1								
Cincinnati AA	0	0 0		26 3,060		3,060	99.54	0	0
Hamilton-Middletown AA	0	0	15	11	15	11	0.36	0	0
Limited Scope:									
Clinton County AA	0	0	6	3	6	3	0.10	0	0

-

^{* &#}x27;Prior Period Investments' means investments made in a previous period that are outstanding as of the examination date.

[&]quot;Unfunded Commitments' means legally binding investment commitments that are tracked and recorded by the institution's financial reporting system.

Table 15. Distribution of Branch Delivery System and Branch Openings/Closings

DISTRIBUTION OF BRANCH DI	ELIVERY SYST	EM AND BRA	NCH OPENIN	GS/CLOSIN	GS	Geograp	hy: OHIO		Evaluation	Period: JU	INE 12, 200	0 TO OCTO	BER 4, 2004	4			
Assessment Area:	Deposits % of Rated	# of BANK	% of Rated		ocation of	Branches by		# of	Brand Br		ngs/Closings hange in Loc (+		nches	Population % of Population within Each Geography (per 2000 Census)			
	Area Deposits in AA	Branches	Area Branches in AA	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Branch Openings	Branch Closings	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp	Low	Mod	Mid	Upp
Full Scope:																	
Cincinnati AA	83.80	13	72.00	0.00	0.00	84.62	15.38	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	11.33	36.01	52.61
Hamilton-Middletown AA	13.40	4	22.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	0.00	1	0	0	0	1	0	5.86	21.61	48.29	23.98
Limited Scope:	•							•									
Clinton County AA	2.80	1	6.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	88.14	11.86